# **2004** 2<sup>nd</sup> International IEEE Conference "Intelligent Systems"

# Proceedings

VOLUME I

EDITORS: Ronald R. Yager and Vassil S. Sgurev

## CONTENTS

Plenary SESSION	A New Method for Reaching Equilibrium Points in Fuzzy Cognitive Maps <i>T.L. Kottas , Y. S. Boutalis, Greece, G.</i>		
PART I		Devedsic, Serbia and Montenegro, B. G. Mertzios, Greece	53
Intelligent Decision Making and Information Fusion <i>R. Yager, USA</i>	1	Short-Term Load Forecasting Based on a Rough Fuzzy-Neural Network <i>F. Li and Q. Jia-ju, China</i>	61
Some Issues of Linguistic Approximation G. Klir, USA	5	Part II	
Diversity and Unity of Uncertainty Theories G. Klir, USA	6	Self-Organising Map Representations of Greyscale Images Reflect Human Similarity Judgements T. M. Gale, N. Davey, K. R. Laws	
Computational Intelligence Approach to Real- World Cooperative Vehicle Dispatching Probl	em	R. J. Frank, UK	66
K. Hirola, K. Chen, and F. Dong, Japan PART II	/	SORT: A Fast and Compact Neural Classifier Based on a Sorting Preprocessor <i>R. Dogaru, Romania, M. Glesner, Germany</i>	, 71
Uncertain Variables and Their Applications in Knowledge-Based Decision Systems Z. Bubnicki, Poland	13	Nonlinear System Identification using Takagi- Sugeno Type Neuro-fuzzy Model P. C. Panchariya, India, A. K. Palit, D. Popovic, Germany, A.L. Sharma, India	76
Bioinformatics: A Knowledge Engineering Approach N. Kasabov, New Zealand	19	Two-Stage Learning Algorithm for Fuzzy Cognitive Maps <i>E. I. Papageorgiou and P. P. Groumpos</i> ,	
Perspectives of Fuzzy Control: Lights and Shadows P. Albertos and A. Sala, Spain	25	Greece Part III	82
SESSION: Neural Networks and Neuro-Fuzzy Systems		Dimensionality Reduction of Face Images for Gender Classification S. Buchala, N. Davey, R. J. Frank, T. M. Gale, UK	88
Part I		Structured Connectivity in an Associative Memory Model	
Local Minima Free Neural Network Learning I. Jordanov, T. Rafik, UK	34	S. Turvey, S. Hunt, R. Frank, R. Adams, N. Davey, UK	94
Automatic Text Summarization With Neural Networks <i>K. Kaikhah, USA</i>	40	Improving of Classification Abilities of Neural Network by Modification of Training Data Set S. Velikov, L. Dakovski, Bulgaria	l .00
Applied Adaptive Fuzzy-Neural Inference Models: Complexity and Integrity Problems G. M. Dimirovski, Turkey, I. I. Lokvenec, and D. J. Tanevska, F.Y. Rep. of Macedonia	45	Modeling of Non-Linear Dynamic Systems via Discrete-Time Recurrent Neural Networks and Variational Training Algorithm <i>S. V. Minchev, G. I. Venkov, Bulgaria</i> 1	05

Association Rules <i>M. Kaya, Turkey, and R. Alhajj, Canada</i> Fuzzy Regression Analysis by Entropy <i>Ch. Kap. and P. H. Lin. Ching</i>	225	Waste Management N. V. Karadimas, V. G. Loumos and O. D Mavrantza, Greece	288
SESSION: Intelligent Agents, Ontology and Semantic Web		<ul> <li>Nonlinear Principal Component Models</li> <li>D. Antory, U. Kruger, G. W. Irwin, and G McCullough, UK</li> <li>DEDS Control Synthesis Problem Solving</li> </ul>	293
Part I		F. Čapkovič, Slovak Republic	299
Meta-Context Mediation to Attain Semantic Interoperability Y. Biletskiy, C. Câmpeanu, Canada, Z. Dudar, and O. Vorochek, Ukraine	238	Fuzzy Logic Based Intelligent Motion Contro of Robot Swarm Simulated by Khepera Robo Z. Minchev, O. Manolov, S. Noykov, Bulg U. Witkowski, and U. Rückert, Germany	ol ots <i>aria,</i> 305
The Semiotics Contribution on the Web V. Damjanović, D. Gašević, V. B. Devedž	žić,	SESSION: Applications	
and D. Djurić, Serbia and Montenegro	244	PART I	
Learning of Disabled D. V. Lakov, Bulgaria	250	Ternary Grid as a Potentially New Technique for Knowledge Elicitation/Acquisition <i>Y. Erdani A. Hunger, S. Werner, and S.</i>	•
Explore Agent Learning Process by Using Mechanical Features in Agent-Based Simula <i>Zh. Bi, T. Takashina, K. Tanaka, Sh</i>	ation	Mertens, Germany	312
Watanabe, Japan PART II	256	Identification, Control and Optimisation J. J. Govindhasamy, UK, S. F. McLoone, Ireland, and G. W. Irwin, UK	316
A Hybrid Local-Global Approach for Handl Ontologies in a Multiagent System <i>R. F. Brena, H. Ceballos, Mexico</i>	ing 261	Electric-Power Protection System Fuzzy Critical Analysis M. Dumitrescu, T. Munteanu, A. P.	222
The Design Process of Intelligent Agents as Parallel Elaboration		Fuzzy Logic in Power System Performability	322
S. Kojnov, V.Sgurev, V. Jotsov, Bulgaria	267	M. Dumitrescu, T. Munteanu, A. P. Ulmeanu, Romania	326
ADMI: A Multi-Agent Architecture to Autonomously Generate Data Mining Servic S. Z. H. Zaidi, Malaysia, S. S. R. Abidi, Canada, S. Manikam, and Cheah Yu-N, Malaysia	es 273	Neural Networks Modelling of Two Biotechnological Processes I. Simeonov, E. Chorukova, Bulgaria	331
-		Part II	
SESSION: Intelligent Control and Decision Support Systems		An Adaptive Integral Plus States Neural Cont of Aerobic Continuous Stirred Tank Reactor I. S. Baruch, Mexico, P. Georgieva, Portug	rol g <i>al</i> ,
ArgueNet: An Argument-Based Recommend System for Solving Web Search Queries	er	L. A. Hernandes P., Mexico, B. Nenkova, Bulgaria	337
C. I. Chesñevar, Spain and A. G. Maguitman, USA	282	A Cascading Support Vector Machines Syster for Gene Expression Data Classification	n

Mining Multi-Cross-Level Fuzzy Weighted

Quality of Service Ensuring in Urban Solid

293

299

	326
ng of Two	
ova, Bulgaria	331
r II	

tor Machines System 282 for Gene Expression Data Classification

D. K. Iakovidis, I. N. Flaounas, S. A. Karkanis, D. E. Maroulis, Greece	344	Applying a Reconfigurable Multi-Agent Scheduler to Product Distribution S. P. Walsh and S. Nahavandi, Australia	411
Fuzzy Two-Level Control for Anaerobic Wastewater Treatment		Collective Intelligence as a Framework for	
S. T. Yordanova, Bulgaria	348	Chain Management L. Sheremetov and L. Rocha-Mier, Mexico	417
From Local Actions to Global Tasks: Simula of Stigmergy Based Foraging Behavior	ation	PART II	
D. D. Tsankova, V. S. Georgieva, Bulgari	a 353		
Enhancement of DeLC for the Provision of Intelligent Mobile Services		Intuitionistic Fuzzy Estimation and Generaliz Net Model of E-learning within a University Local Network	æd
I. Ganchev, Ireland, S. Stojanov, Bulgaria O'Droma, Ireland, I. Popchev, Bulgaria	a, M. 359	A. Shannon Australia, E. Kerre, Belgium, Szmidt, Poland, E. Sotirova, Bulgaria, I.	Ε
Neural Network Adaptive Wavelets for Sizir Stand-Alone Photovoltaic Systems	ng of	Petrounias, UK, J. Kacprzyk, Poland, K Atanassov, Bulgaria, M. Krawczak, Polan P. Melo-Pinto, Portugal, P. Georgiey.	d,
A. Mellit, M. Benghanem, A. H. Arab, A. Guessoum, K. Moulai, Algeria	365	Bulgaria, S. Melliani, Morocco, T. Kim, Korea	423
Invited SESSION		Intuitionistic Fuzzy-Valued Fuzzy Measures A. I. Ban, Romania	427
Part I		An Intutionistic Fuzzy Component Based Approach for Identifying Web Usage Patterns <i>I. Petrounias and A. Tseng, UK, B. Koley</i> ,	5
Multilingual On-Line Dictionary Breaking th Language Barriers in the Advent of Open Markets	ne	Bulgaria, P. Chountas and V. Kodogianni. UK	s, 430
P. Malo, R. Goncalves, R. Saraiva, S. Garcao, Portugal	376	Intutionistic Fuzzy Graph Interpretations of Multi- Person Multi-Criteria Decision Making Generalized Net Approach	g:
Enhancing the Design of a Multi-Party Collaboration Framework with the Use of Ontologies		G. Pasi, Italy, R. Yager, USA, and K. Atanassov, Bulgaria	434
B. Roberts, UK, A. Koumpis, Greece	382	On Intuitionistic Fuzzy Multigraphs and Thei Index Matrix Interpretations	r
GAs and Simulation Techniques for Dynami Resources Sharing and Reallocation across	с	A. Shannon, Australia and K. Atanassov, Bulgaria	440
A. Orsoni, UK	387	Poster SESSION	
Adding Context-Awareness to Knowledge			
Management in Modern Enterprises W. Huang, and T. Tao, UK	393	Decision Support System for Customs Examination	
Generic Web-Based Platform for Virtual Organizations: the SICOV Case		A. K. Singh and R. Sahu, India	446
F. Feltz, G. Simon, C. Lambert, and B. Otjacques, Luxembourg	399	Question-Answer Processor for Cooperative Work in Human-Computer Environment <i>P. I. Sosnin, Russia</i>	452
MRP-Production Planning In Agile Manufacturing V. T. Le, B. M. Gunn, and S. Nahavandi, Australia	405	Aids to Bayesian Belief Network Constructio E. Rajabally, P. Sen, S. Whittle, and J. Dalton, UK	n 457

## A Cascading Support Vector Machines System for Gene Expression Data Classification

Dimitris K. Iakovidis, Ilias N. Flaounas, *Student Member, IEEE*, Stavros A. Karkanis, *Member, IEEE*, and Dimitris E. Maroulis, *Member, IEEE* 

Abstract—Microarray technology provides the ability of monitoring the gene expression levels of thousands of genes in parallel. Gene expression data classification applies for diseases' diagnosis or prediction. We propose a novel intelligent system for the classification of multiclass gene expression data. It is based on a cascading Support Vector Machines (SVM) scheme and utilizes Welch's *t*-test for the detection of differentially expressed genes. The system was applied for the discrimination of normal and lung cancer subtypes' specimens. The overall accuracy achieved was 98.5%. The results show that the proposed system can be efficiently used for microarray data analysis.

*Index Terms*—Classification, Gene Expression Data, Gene Selection, Microarrays, SVM

#### I. INTRODUCTION

A variety of techniques have been developed by molecular biologists in order to study gene expression changes associated with biological evolution mechanisms and diseases. Microarray technology first provided the advantage of monitoring the gene expression levels of thousands of genes in parallel. Microarrays consist of large numbers of individual DNA sequences printed as spots in a systematic order on a microscope's glass. Each spot produced by a DNA microarray hybridization experiment represents the expression levels' ratio of a particular gene under two different experimental conditions [1].

Microarray technology motivated computer scientists to focus on solving biological problems such as the identification of the functional roles of the genes, the way they are organized, the way they interact and the way their expression levels are changed by various diseases. The major related research areas include the detection of differential expression, pattern discovery, class prediction and inference of regulatory pathways and networks [2].

Class prediction methods involve supervised machine learning techniques for diseases' diagnosis or prediction.

This is a challenging task mainly due to the following reasons:

- 1. Microarray data consist of a large number of features (gene expression measurements), while the number of samples involved is disproportionaly small.
- 2. A significant percentage of genes is usually not associated with the problem under investigation.
- 3. The biochemical procedure used to produce microarrays, adds a lot of noise to the measurements.

The first two issues could lead to peaking phenomena associated with the "curse of dimensionality" [3], while the third introduces a large amount of uncertainty in our measurements, making the classification task harder. In order to remove irrelevant genes, identify the differentially expressed genes and reduce the feature space dimensions, gene selection algorithms are usually applied prior to the classification stage [2].

Several classification approaches have been proposed in the literature on microarray data including linear discriminant analysis, k-nearest neighbors (k-NN), parzen windows, decision trees, Neural Networks (NN) and Support Vector Machines (SVM) [4]-[8]. Comparative studies suggest that SVMs outperform other methods [5][9]. SVMs are remarkably robust machine learning algorithms that are based on statistical learning theory [10]. Their performance is not easily affected by sparse or noisy data, they resist overfitting and to the "curse of dimensionality".

The afore mentioned approaches have been applied to binary classification problems, such as the discrimination among normal and cancerous samples of colon, breast and ovarian cancer cases as well as the discrimination among two leukemia subtypes. The classification task becomes more complex as the number of classes increases. Multiclass classification approaches that have been proposed for microarray data classification include Multicategory SVMs for the classification of leukemia subtypes [11]; binary classifiers in conjunction with three combination scenarios, namely one-vs-one, one-vs-all and hierarchical partitioning for the discrimination of 14 common tumor types [12].

Under this framework we developed a novel system of cascading SVMs, for multiclass classification of gene expression data, which utilizes Welch's *t*-test for the detection of differentially expressed genes. The system was applied for the classification of normal and lung cancer subtypes samples [13].

The rest of this paper is organized in 3 sections. In section 2 the proposed system is described. In section 3 the

This work was realized under the framework of the Operational Program for Education and Vocational Training Project "Pythagoras" cofunded by European Union and the Ministry of National Education and Religious Affairs of Greece.

D. K. Iakovidis, I. N. Flaounas, and D. E. Maroulis are with Realtime Systems and Image Analysis Group, Department of Informatics and Telecommunications, National and Kapodestrian University of Athens, 15784 Panepistimiopolis, Ilisia, Athens, Greece (e-mail: rtsimage@di.uoa.gr).

S. A. Karkanis is with the Department of Informatics and Computer Technology, Technological Educational Institute of Lamia, Lamia 35100, Greece (e-mail:sk@teilam.gr).



Fig. 1. Cascading SVMs system for microarray data classification.

results of the system's experimental evaluation on lung cancer data are apposed. The last section summarizes the conclusions of this study.

#### **II. SYSTEM DESCRIPTION**

The proposed system aims to the classification of a gene expression vector  $\mathbf{x}$  to its appropriate class  $\omega_i$ , i=1,2,...N. The gene expression levels are normalized to conform to zero mean and unitary variance in order to obtain directly comparable sample measurements. The system implements a cascading scheme of SVM classifiers as illustrated in Fig.1. It consists of *N*-1 blocks. Each block  $B_i$  consists of two modules. The first module noted as  $S_{i}$ , realizes gene selection and the second noted as  $C_i$ , implements classification. System's free parameters are tuned during training phase. Each block  $B_i$  is trained separately with a samples' subset  $X_i$  of the available training set X, where

$$X_i = \{ x \in (\omega_i \cup \omega_h) \}, \ \omega_h = \bigcup_{k > i} \omega_k$$
(1)

Module  $S_i$  selects a subset of v genes  $g_{ij}$ , j=1,2...v which best discriminates class  $\omega_i$  from class  $\omega_h$ , via Welch's *t*-test. The number of selected genes is determined by maximizing the performance of the classification module  $C_i$ .

Presenting a vector  $\mathbf{x}$  of unknown class to the system, module  $C_i$  is fed with the selected subset of genes,  $g_{ij}$  and outputs  $y_i=1$  if  $\mathbf{x} \in \omega_i$  or  $y_i=0$  if  $\mathbf{x} \notin \omega_i$ . If  $y_i=0$ , the sample enters to the next block  $B_{i+1}$ . If  $y_i=1$ , the classification task terminates and  $\mathbf{x}$  is assigned to class  $\omega_i$ . The last block  $B_{N-1}$ decides whether  $\mathbf{x} \in \omega_{N-1}$  or  $\mathbf{x} \in \omega_N$ .

### A. Welch's t-test

Welch's *t*-test is a statistical test that assumes unequal variances among classes and it can be applied in problems involving a small number of samples [14]. The genes are ranked based on how well they lead to a large betweenclass distance and a small within-class variance in the feature's space. Genes' ranking is achieved by calculating the absolute value of the *t*-statistic Z(j) for each gene *j*:

$$Z(j) = \frac{m_{j}^{i} - m_{j}^{h}}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_{j}^{i}}{N_{i}}^{2} + \frac{\sigma_{j}^{h}}{N_{h}}^{2}}}$$
(2)

where  $(m_j^i, \sigma_j^i)$  and  $(m_j^h, \sigma_j^h)$  correspond to the mean and standard deviation of gene's *j* expression levels of the training samples that belong to  $\omega_i$  and  $\omega_h$  classes respectively. The number of samples belonging to each of the above classes is denoted by  $N_i$  and  $N_h$ . The larger the absolute value of Z(j) the higher the expression of gene *j*.

#### B. Support Vector Machines

Let  $\Phi$  be a non-linear mapping from the input space  $I \subseteq \Re^n$  to the feature space  $F \subseteq \Re^m$ . The SVM algorithm is capable of finding a hyperplane defined by the equation

$$w\Phi(x) + b = 0 \tag{3}$$

so that the *margin of separation* is maximized. It is easy to prove [10][15] that for the *maximal margin* hyperplane,

$$w = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_i y_i \Phi^{\mathrm{T}}(x_i)$$
(4)

where the variables  $\lambda_i$  are Lagrange multipliers that can be estimated by maximizing the quantity

$$L_{D} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_{i} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \lambda_{i} \lambda_{j} y_{i} y_{j} K(x_{i}, x_{j})$$
(5)

with respect to  $\lambda_i$ , where the following constraints should be satisfied:  $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_i y_i = 0$  and  $0 \le \lambda_i \le c$ , for i = 1, 2, ..., N,

and a given cost value c. Increasing c corresponds to a higher penalty for errors.

 $K(x_i, x_j)$  is called kernel function and it is defined as the inner product

$$K(x_i, x_i) = \Phi^{\mathrm{T}}(x_i)\Phi(x_i)$$
(6)

Linear, polynomial, Radial Basis (RBF) and sigmoid are the most common functions used as SVM kernels. We used the RBF kernel:

$$K(x_i, x_j) = e^{-\|x_i - x_j\|^2 / \gamma}$$
(7)

were  $\gamma$  is a strictly positive constant. This kernel, usually has better boundary response as it allows for extrapolation,

and most high-dimensional data sets can be approximated by Gaussian-like distributions similar to that used by RBF networks [15].

### III. RESULTS

The experimentation presented in this study aims to the evaluation of the proposed system's performance. The dataset used has been first studied by Bhattacharjee *et al.* [13], who applied hierarchical unsupervised classification to reveal unknown adenocarcinoma subclasses. It consists of 203 samples spanning 6 different classes which correspond to normal lung specimens, Small-Cell Lung Carcinomas (SCLC), Adenocarcinomas (AC), Large-Cell Lung Carcinomas (LCLC), Squamous Carcinomas (SC) and ACs which are suspected to be extrapulmonary metastases (MAC). The number of samples per class is 17, 6, 127, 21, 20 and 12 respectively. Each sample is represented by a 12600 dimensional vector formed by the expression levels of the measured genes.

A 5-block cascading SVMs architecture was used for the 6-class classification problem. The block sequence used for the discrimination of the corresponding classes is presented in Table I.

 TABLE I

 System's Block Sequence for Lung Cancer Data Classification

Block	$\omega_i$	$\mathcal{O}_h$
$B_1$	Normal	{SCLC, LCLC, SC, MAC, AC}
$B_2$	SCLC	{LCLC, SC, MAC, AC}
$B_3$	LCLC	{SC, MAC, AC}
$B_4$	SC	{MAC, AC}
$B_5$	MAC	AC

In each block all genes were ranked in descending significance using Welch's *t*-test. System's parameters were selected by grid search. The search parameters were the number of genes and SVM's cost *c*. Among the available genes only the 50 top-ranked were considered. Preliminary tests showed that a further increase of this number did not result in any significant increase of the classification performance. The classification performance was evaluated by adopting a Leave-One-Out (LOO) cross validation approach. LOO is commonly used when the available dataset is small providing an almost unbiased estimate of the generalization ability of a classifier [16].

Under this experimental framework the minimum number of differentially expressed genes which maximizes the classification performance of each block was determined. The classification accuracy vs. the number of genes used in blocks  $B_1$ ,  $B_2$ ,  $B_4$  and  $B_5$  is illustrated in Fig. 2, 3, 4 and 5 respectively. The diagram corresponding to the third block's performance was omitted because it reached 100% accuracy by using only the first ranked gene. Maximum accuracies are designated with vertical dashed lines within figures. The classification performances achieved as well as the number of selected genes per block are summarized in Table II. The overall accuracy of the proposed system reaches 98.5% (3 out of 203 samples were misclassified). It manages to accurately discriminate among normal specimens and different lung cancer types utilizing a rather small number of genes ranging from 1 to 40.

The results achieved are comparable with the results reported in [8]. In that study two gene selection methods

namely Recursive Feature Elimination (RFE) and Univariate Association Filtering (UAF) were combined with linear and polynomial SVM, NN and k-NN classifiers for the discrimination of (i) normal - cancerous, (ii) SC -{MAC, AC} and (iii) MAC - AC specimens from the same dataset.



These pairs of classes correspond to  $\omega_i - \omega_h$  pairs handled by the  $B_1$ ,  $B_4$  and  $B_5$  blocks of the cascading SVMs system. The best results reported in [8] as well as the results of our approach are compared in Table III. In cases (i) and (ii) we achieved a comparable accuracy by using a significantly smaller number of genes. In case (iii) the accuracy we achieved was higher by using only 14 genes more.

 TABLE II

 MAXIMUM CLASSIFICATION ACCURACY AND PARAMETERS USED PER

 BLOCK

Block	Selected Genes	Accuracy (%)
$B_I$	6	99.5
$B_2$	25	100
$B_3$	1	100
$B_4$	40	99.4
$B_5$	20	99.3

TABLE III COMPARATIVE RESULTS

	Cascading SVMs System		Results reported in [8]	
$\omega_i - \omega_h$	Accuracy (%)	Selected Genes	Accuracy (%)	Selected Genes
Normal-Cancerous	99.5	6	99.8	100
SC-{MAC, AC}	99.4	40	99.6	500
MAC-AC	99.3	20	97.6	6

#### **IV. CONCLUSIONS**

In this paper we presented a novel system for the classification of multiclass gene expression data. It implements a cascading scheme of SVMs combined with gene selection modules. The proposed system was applied for the classification of lung cancer data. A 5-block cascading architecture was used for the discrimination of the six classes comprising the dataset. The results showed that the lung cancer classes could be characterized by a very small number of genes compared to the total 12600 genes involved in the experiment. The overall system's accuracy for this dataset was estimated 98.5%.

This study shows that the proposed system can be successfully used for the classification of gene expression data. A straightforward application of this system is disease diagnosis or even prediction under a medical decision support framework.

#### REFERENCES

- M. K. Deyholos, and D. W. Galbraith, "High-Density Microarrays for Gene Expression Analysis," *Cytometry*, vol. 43, no. 3, pp. 229-238, 2001.
- [2] D. K. Slonim, "From patterns to pathways: gene expression data analysis comes of age," *Nature Genetics*, vol. 32, no. 12, pp. 502-508, 2002.
- [3] A. K. Jain, R. P. W. Duin, and J. Mao, "Statistical Pattern Recognition: A Review," *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis* and Machine Intelligence, vol. 22, pp. 4-37, Jan. 2000.
- [4] S. Dudoit, J. Fridlyand, and T. P. Speed, "Comparison of Discrimination Methods for the Classification of Tumors Using Gene Expression Data," *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, vol. 97, no. 457, pp. 77-87, 2002.
- [5] M. P. S. Brown, W. N. Grundy, D. Lin, N. Cristianini, C. W. Sugnet, T. S. Furey *et al.*, "Knowledge-based analysis of microarray gene expression data by using support vector machines," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA*, vol. 97, no. 1, pp. 262-267, 2000.
- [6] J. Ryu, and S. Cho, "Gene expression classification using optimal feature/classifier ensemble with negative correlation," in *Proc. International Joint Conference on Neural Networks (IJCNN'02)*, 2000, pp. 198-203.
- [7] Y. Lu, and J. Han, "Cancer classification using gene expression data," *Information Systems*, vol. 28, no. 4, pp.243-268, 2003.
- [8] C. F. Aliferis, I. Tsamardinos, P. P. Massion, A. Statnikov, N. Pananapazir, and D. Hardin, "Machine learning models for classification of lung cancer and selection of genomic markers using array gene expression data," in *Proc. 16th International FLAIRS Conference*, 2003, pp. 67-71.
- [9] T. S. Furey, N. Christianini, N. Duffy, D. W. Bednarski, M. Schummer and D. Haussler, "Support vector machine classification and validation of cancer tissue samples using microarray expression data," *Bioinformatics*, vol. 16, no. 10, pp. 906-914, 2000.
- [10] V. Vapnik, "The Nature of Statistical Learning Theory," Springer-Verlag, 1995.
- [11] Y. Lee, and C. K. Lee, "Classification of multiple cancer types by multicategory support vector machines using gene expression data," *Bioinformatics*, vol. 19, no. 13, pp. 1132-1139, 2003.
- [12] C. Yeang, S. Ramaswamy, P. Tamayo, S. Mukherjee, R. M. Rifkin, M. Angelo *et al.*, "Molecular classification of multiple tumor types," *Bioinformatics*, vol. 17 Suppl., pp. S316-S322, 2001.
- [13] A. Bhattacharjee, W. G. Richards, J. Staunton, C. Li, S. Monti, P. Vasa *et al.*, "Classification of human lung carcinomas by mRNA expression profiling reveals distinct adenocarcinoma subclasses," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA*, vol. 98, no. 24, pp.13790-13795, 2001.
- [14] W. Pan, "A comparative review of statistical methods for discovering differentially expressed genes in replicated microarray experiments," *Bioinformatics*, vol. 18, no. 4, pp. 546-554, 2002.
  [15] C. Burges, "A Tutorial on Support Vector Machines for Pattern
- [15] C. Burges, "A Tutorial on Support Vector Machines for Pattern Recognition," *Kluwer Academic Publishers*, Boston, 1998.
- [16] G. C. Cawley, and N. L. C. Talbot, "Efficient leave-one-out cross validation of kernel Fisher discriminant classifiers," *Pattern Recognition*, vol. 36, no. 11, pp. 2585-2592, 2003.

### **Author Index**

Abidi, S. S. R.	273	De Cecco, M.	600
Adams, Rod	94, 150	Debei, S.	600
Aguirre, José L.	200	Debnath, Rameswar	520
Albertos, Pedro	25	Devaraj, D.	612
Alexandropoulos, Theodoro	s 169	Devedzic, Goran	53
Alexiev, Kiril M.	213	Devedžić, Vladan B.	244, 462, 485
Alhajj, Reda	225	Dimirovski, Georgi M.	45
Angrilli, F.	600	Dimitrova, Maya	552
Antory, David	293	Ding, Yongsheng	178
Arai, Masahiko	138	Djurić, Dragan	244, 485
Atanassov, Krassimir	423, 434, 440	Dogaru, Radu	71
Bakir, Goekhan	174	Domínguez-Brito, Antonio C.	560
Ban, Adrian I.	427	Dong, Fangyan	7
Barakova, Emilia I.	116	Dudar, Zova	238
Baruch, Ieroham S.	337, 514	Dumitrescu, Mariana	322. 326
Bede, Barnabas	208	Erdani, Yuliadi	312
Beltran L., Rafael	514	Feltz. Fernand	399
Benghanem, M.	365	Flaounas, Ilias N.	344
Berber, Stevan M.	530	Frank, Ray J.	66 88 94
Bharadwai, Vijavanand	134	Gale. Tim M.	66 88
Bi. Zhenbo	256	Ganchey I	359
Bianchini, G.	600	Garcao Steiger	376
Biletskiv, Yevgen	238	Gašević. Dragan	244 462 485
Boeva, Veselka	110	Gegov Emil	557
Boutalis, Yiannis S.	53, 144	Georgiev Peter	423
Bramer. Max	190	Georgieva Olga I	213
Brena, Ramon F.	261	Georgieva Petia	337
Bubnicki, Zdzislaw	13	Georgieva, Velichka S	353
Buchala, Samarasena	88	Georgios, Skemperis	557
Cabrera-Gámez Jorge	560	Glesner Manfred	71
Câmpeanu Cezar	238	Goncalves Ricardo	376
Cao Aize	491	Govindhasamy James J	316
Čankovič František	299	Groumpos Peter P	82
Ceballos Hector	261	Guardiola Carlos	184
Chen An Pin	503	Guessoum A	365
Chen Kewei	7	Gunn Bruce M	405
Cheng Tung Wan	503	Hadi Arab A	365
Chesñevar Carlos Iván	282	Halvey Martin	586
Chorukova Elena	331	Hammad Sherif A	547
Chountas Panagiotis	430	Hassan Ahmed	547
Dakovski Liudmil	100	Hernandes P Luis Alberto	337
Dalton John	457	Hernández-Sosa Daniel	560
Damianović Violeta	244 485	Hirota Kaory	7 208
Danijanovic, Violeta Danilowicz, Czeslaw	130	Huang Weihong	393
Darvish Alireza	595	Hunger Axel	312
Davey Neil	66 88 94 150	Hunt Steve	94
Davidsson Paul	50, 00, 25, 150	Iakovidis Dimitris K	344
De Baets Bernard	110	Irwin George W	293 316
De Davis, Demara	110		<u> </u>

Isern-González, Josep	560	Loutas, Evangelos	541
Ivanova, Elena	572	Lughofer, Edwin	184
Ivanova, Z.	580	Luján, José Manuel	184
Ivoda, Eduardo Masato	208	Maguitman, Ana Gabriela	282
Jia-ju, Qiu	61	Majeed, Basim	164
Jordanov, Ivan	34	Malo, Pedro	376
Jotsov, Vladimir S.	121, 267	Manikam, S.	273
Jovanović, Jelena	462	Manolov, Ognyan	305
Kacprzyk, Janusz	423	Marco, Santiago	174
Kaikhah, Khosrow	40	Maroulis, Dimitris E.	344
Kalpakam, N. V.	474	Martin, Trevor	164
Kankanahalli, Srinivas	134	Mavrantza, Ourania D.	288
Kao, Chiang	231	McCullough, Geoffrey	293
Karadimas, Nikolaos V.	288	McLoone, Seán F.	316, 326
Karkanis, Stavros A.	344	Melliani, Said	423
Karras, Dimitrios A.	144	Mellit, A.	365
Kasabov, Nikola	19	Melo-Pinto, Pedro	423
Kaya, Mehmet	225	Mertens, Sascha	312
Kayafas, Eleftherios	169	Mertzios, Basil G.	53, 144
Kaye, Paul	150	Michalas, Angelos	541
Keane, Mark T	586	Mikhailov, Ludmil	497
Kedar, Amol	595	Minchev, Stefan V.	105
Kerre, Etienne	423	Minchev, Zlatogor	305
Kim, Taekyun	423	Montaño, Omar	200
Klement, Erich Peter	184	Moulai, K.	365
Klir, George	5, 6	Munteanu, Toader	322, 326
Kodogiannis, Vassilis	430	Nahavandi, Saeid	405, 411
Koehl, Ludovic	178	Najarian, Kayvan	595
Kojnov, Stefan L.	267	Nauck, Detlef	164
Kolev, Boyan	430	Nenkova, Boyka	337, 514
Koprinkova-Hristova, Petya D.	219	Nikolov, Dimitar	552
Kottas, Theodore L.	53	Nobuhara, Hajime	208
Koumpis, Adamantios	382	Noykov, Svetoslav	305
Kraounakis, Stylianos	541	O'Droma, M.	359
Kräußling, Andreas	566	Orsoni, Alessandra	387
Krawczak, Maciej	423	Otjacques, Benoît	399
Kruger, Uwe	293	Paleru, Radhakrishna	595
Lakov, Dimitar V.	250	Palit, Ajoy K.	76
Lambert, Cécile	399	Panchariya, P. C.	76
Lavallée, Marc	468	Papageorgiou, Elpiniki I.	82
Lavesson, Niklas	508	Papavasileiou, Athanasios	557
Laws, Keith R.	66	Paquin, Louis-Claude	468
Le, Vu T.	405	Pasi, Gabriella	434
Lee, Beum-Seuk	164	Pechlivanos, Lambros	535
Lee, Keum-Chang	497	Peneva, Vania	606
Li, Feng	61	Pensuwon, Wanida	150
Lin, Pei-Huang	231	Perera, Alexandre	174
Lokvenec, Irena I.	45	Petrounias, Ilias	423, 430
Loriette, S.	196	Popchev, Ivan	359, 606
Loumos, Vassili G.	169, 288	Popovic, D.	76
Lourens, Tino	116	Qin, A. K.	524
Louta, Malamati	535, 541	Radeva, Petia	552